

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Ms: 3254

Title: Differential diagnosis of obsessive-compulsive symptoms from delusions in schizophrenia

Reviewer code: 02445222

Science editor: s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-04-17 12:16

Date reviewed: 2013-04-20 19:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS TO EDITOR:

Dear Editor, thank you very much for the opportunity to read and review the MS. The MS is generally well-written and the topic is not out-dated. However, the descriptive, narrative report is purely derived from clinical and historical assumptions (formal phenomenology) and not based on empirical (e.g. meta-analysis) or neurobiological data (e.g. genetics, brain imaging). Thus, the mutual relationship between clinical and biological concepts is also lacking. Moreover, the implication of the presented distinction for clinical treatment strategies, particularly for pharmacological treatment is missing. Nevertheless, I suppose that the MS (article scope "clinical practice") is in line with the general scope of the WJP? In this case, the authors should indicate the "type" of article (descriptive, theoretical) clearly already in the title. Terms as "study", "analysis", "results" should in my view be avoided as they could be misleading in this context. Perhaps, some references dealing with biological hypotheses of OCD and OCS (Millet et al. 2013, PLoSOne; Harrison et al. 2009, Arch Gen Psychiatry) as well as papers related to pharmacological treatment (e.g. in schizophrenia: Scheltema Beduin et al. 2012, J Clin Psychiatry) could be included. Sincerely, MJ Müller

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

The MS is generally well-written and the topic is not out-dated. However, the descriptive, narrative report is purely derived from clinical and historical assumptions (formal phenomenology) and not based on empirical (e.g. meta-analysis) or neurobiological data (e.g. genetics, brain imaging). Thus, the mutual relationship between clinical and biological concepts is also lacking. Moreover, the implication of the presented distinction for clinical treatment strategies, particularly for pharmacological treatment is missing. - The authors should clearly indicate the "type" of article (descriptive, theoretical) already in the title. - Terms like "study", "analysis",



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

"results" ... should in my view be avoided as they could be misleading in this context. - Some references dealing with biological hypotheses of OCD and OCS (Millet et al. 2013, PLoSOne; Harrison et al. 2009, Arch Gen Psychiatry) as well as papers related to pharmacological treatment (e.g. in schizophrenia: Scheltens Beduin et al. 2012, J Clin Psychiatry) could be included.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Ms: 3254

Title: Differential diagnosis of obsessive-compulsive symptoms from delusions in schizophrenia

Reviewer code: 02445282

Science editor: s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-04-17 12:16

Date reviewed: 2013-04-22 23:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS TO EDITOR:

This is a very interesting review article that deserves to be published in the journal as it makes a critical comparison of symptomatic features that are frequently confused in daily clinical practice with other secondary consequences related to treatment and prognosis

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This is a very interesting paper that address the importance of distinguishing OCD symptoms with delusions. Only a minor consideration for the improvement of the manuscript. Author include a "Discussion" section, and most of the information of this section is also very important in the body of the manuscript and not as a Discussion. I recommend autor just to reorder this information and include it in the body of the manuscript so that the reader can have a more profound analysisof the diagnostic distinction authors propose.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Ms: 3254

Title: Differential diagnosis of obsessive-compulsive symptoms from delusions in schizophrenia

Reviewer code: 02445209

Science editor: s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-04-17 12:16

Date reviewed: 2013-04-29 23:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS TO EDITOR:

Dear Editor, I appreciated the manuscript. The authors try to reduce excessive diagnostics of obsessive-compulsive disorder in schizophrenia, and cite logical arguments in this sense. The English should be revised by a native speaker. After that, I recommend the manuscript to be published. Best regards Ladislav Hosak

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Dear authors, I really appreciated your manuscript. I only suggest a revision of your English text by a native English speaker. Best regards The reviewer