



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 85873

**Title:** Effects of an Omaha System-based follow-up regimen on self-care and quality of life in gastrointestinal surgery patients

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06519757

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-06-19

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-06-20 07:17

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-06-30 08:29

**Review time:** 10 Days and 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear Sir, I read with interest the manuscript entitled " Effects of an Omaha System-based follow-up regimen on self-care and quality of life in gastrointestinal surgery patients". This study is well examined and the design is also great. The methods of data analysis are very clear, and the results are presented well. However, some issues have to be addressed: a. The manuscript is well written and very interesting, however, authors should also presented the limitations of the study. b. In page 4, paragraph 2, the author describes 'the operation of the Group B and the group A agreed on the follow-up program intervention based on the Omaha system for a total of three months.' Is Group B and the group A all follow-up based on the Omaha system? c. It's too simple for all data to be presented only in figures. Please add some tables. Thank you for giving the opportunity to review this manuscript. If the author modifies the above, this manuscript can be published.



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 85873

**Title:** Effects of an Omaha System-based follow-up regimen on self-care and quality of life in gastrointestinal surgery patients

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 06503080

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Research Assistant

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author’s Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-06-19

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-06-25 00:41

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-07-05 00:41

**Review time:** 9 Days and 23 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this paper, the authors investigated the effect of follow-up protocol based on the Omaha System on self-care ability and quality of life of gastrointestinal surgery patients. They concluded that the follow-up program intervention based on Omaha System for IBD patients in gastrointestinal surgery can improve patients' disease awareness and treatment compliance, make them face the disease positively, reduce disease activity, and develop their self-nursing ability and quality of life. The theme of the study is interesting, and the paper is well written. However, the authors should address the following points. 1. Data and methods Section suggests that only the requirements for subjects and the research methods of this trial should be described, and the results should be placed in Section 3. 2. The methods are all describing the information of the Omaha system, but there is no clear description about Group A and Group B. 3. There are many errors in numbering in the article, such as: whether the number (3) of line 7 on page 6 should be number (4)? whether the number (4) of line 15 on this page should be number (5)? 2.2 Comparison of ESCA scores before and after the intervention, The number should be 3.2? Please check the full text. 4. The article has only 6 figures and



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

needs to add Tables to list the important scale scores in each group.