



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19212

**Title:** Enhanced recovery after surgery with laparoscopic radical gastrectomy for stomach carcinomas

**Reviewer's code:** 02552068

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-07 18:09

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-28 15:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic of the manuscript is very interesting, with many important issues that could be considered relevant for the clinical practice. Nevertheless, I would like you to provide some more criticism in the discussion. For example, the study is randomized of course, but the discharge criteria together with the clinical decisions regarding the oral intake, drainage extraction etc...should be managed by clinicians NOT involved in the study. In other words, if a patient is randomized to the ERAS pathway, the clinicians could be influenced to accelerate the discharge rather than to judge the patient's conditions itself. Please consider these aspects in the discussion. Please also expand a little the methods paragraph in order to better explain the postoperative details of patients' care (however, do not repeat the corresponding table). Lastly, please specify the TNM stage of the tumours rather the T parameter only