

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 29690

**Title:** International scientific communications in the field of colorectal tumour markers

**Reviewer's code:** 02445426

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-26

**Date reviewed:** 2016-09-07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors explored five information portals for the topic of colorectal tumor markers and outlined the significant journals, scientists and institutions. The authors made a tremendous efforts on searching and comparing the five information portals, and showed the detailed results. This article seems to fulfill the purpose raised by the authors with comprehensive collection of relevant publication in a systematic way. Although the authors' efforts should be appreciated, 7 figures and 15 tables would be too many for one article. The authors should select only relevant figures/tables and make the article fit the journal style described in instruction for the authors. All the other less important figures/tables could be submitted as supplementary files.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 29690

**Title:** International scientific communications in the field of colorectal tumour markers

**Reviewer's code:** 00031150

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-10-08

**Date reviewed:** 2016-10-12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors performed an enormous work on the international literature. However, the interested reader finds no systematic citation of the different methods and they did not weigh them against the others. The work is completely useless for practical gastroenterologists, as only numbers of papers and journals are cited. Specific comment.: The authors forgot to cite an important article: Gastrointest Endosc. 2015 82:133-7. doi: 10.1016/j.gie.2014.12.048. Epub 2015 May 16. Survival in patients with colorectal cancer diagnosed by screening colonoscopy. Friedrich K(1), Grüter L(1), Gotthardt D(1), Eisenbach C(1), Stremmel W(1), Scholl SG(2), Rex DK(3), Sieg A(4).

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 29690

**Title:** International scientific communications in the field of colorectal tumour markers

**Reviewer's code:** 02461109

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-10-08

**Date reviewed:** 2016-10-26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper is interesting, but the authors' hypothesis and relevant conclusions are not shown in the text. Moreover, it is doubtful that all surgeons would be interested in this kind of work. I am curious whether there are any differences in the international scientific communications or information regarding the early diagnosis marker and prognosis evaluation marker of colorectal tumors. If the authors describe more about colorectal tumor markers other than about general science internationalization in the Discussion section, this manuscript will be improved.