

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 88616

Title: Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of endoscopic band ligation in the treatment of bleeding from mild to moderate gastric varices type 1

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00068348

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-03

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-24 20:37

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-24 20:48

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A very interesting article comparing two methods endoscopic variceal ligation (EVL) and endoscopic tissue adhesive injection (TAI in control of gastric variceal bleeding. The article is well organized covering the topic provided be the title. The number of patients is satisfactory. The statistical analysis is adequate. The results are very interesting and accurate. It is a very informative article which contributes with interesting data ,comparing the two treatment options , in the effective control of variceal gastric bleeding.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 88616

Title: Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of endoscopic band ligation in the treatment of bleeding from mild to moderate gastric varices type 1

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00722786

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Serbia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-03

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-24 11:03

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-25 09:53

Review time: 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I read the manuscript "Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of endoscopic reversal body ligation in the treatment of mild to moderate type 1 gastric variceal hemorrhage" with great interest. The manuscript is written in a clear and concise manner, it is adequately documented, current literature is used. It meets all the criteria to be published in WJG after correction. Congratulations to the authors. I would have the following suggestions for authors: 1. If you agree, I advise that the title "Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of endoscopic reversal body ligation in the treatment of mild to moderate type 1 gastric variceal hemorrhage" should be simplified, e.g. "Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of endoscopic band ligation in the treatment of bleeding from mild to moderate gastric varices type 1 ". 2. In the entire manuscript, the term "reversal body ligation" should be replaced by "band ligation". Reversal body ligation is explained in the methodology. 3. The authors do not refer to Figures 1 and 2 in the text. 4. The term "hemorrhage from dehiscence" needs to be further clarified or replaced. 5. It is unnecessary to repeat the results, as well as the p values in the discussion 6. If available, data on drugs used by both groups of patients (e.g. octreotide, terlipressin, PPIs, etc.)

would be interesting. 7. I think that Table 1 is unnecessary, it is already explained in the results. 8. Table 8. Column "average total cost of hospitalization (No.) ". "No." is probably a typographic error and I think it should be "Yuan". Please clarify. With respect,