



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Transplantation*

**Manuscript NO:** 74966

**Title:** Trends and outcomes of liver transplantation among older recipients in the United States

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05870137

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Additional Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-01-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-01-17 04:55

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-01-26 08:09

**Review time:** 9 Days and 3 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. General • Standard font type and size should be there across the manuscript. • The use of verbs and articles in the manuscript is missing in many places • Some of the sentences need revision as they are either hard to understand or incomplete. • An abbreviation of all short forms like NASH, HCV, MELD, etc. must be provided wherever it is used for the first time. • References need to be relooked. 2. Specific • The title needs modification, a simple but unique, direct, catchy, focused title would be better. The article intends to provide trends and outcomes of LT, in my opinion, a related title would be a better option. • Abstract- as abstract gives the information regarding the entire work, thus it should be a crisp summary that provides the gist of the work. The conclusion is unable to reveal its purpose. • Keywords help us identify related articles, and they should help for the searching database so words like HCC, HCV, and NASH may not be a good option as keywords. • In-depth reading and revision of the article would help improve the manuscript's Quality.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Transplantation*

**Manuscript NO:** 74966

**Title:** Trends and outcomes of liver transplantation among older recipients in the United States

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05282786

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Consultant Physician-Scientist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-01-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-02-08 17:25

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-02-17 18:09

**Review time:** 9 Days

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Well conducted paper, with nice statistical analysis. The title, abstract and key words are in concordance with the manuscript. The conclusions, concordant with real life patients, reflect a high number of patient from the database. The results and discussions hope they change the transplant practice