



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 42476

Title: BILIARY ENDOSCOPIC SPHINCTEROTOMY: TECHNIQUES AND COMPLICATIONS

Reviewer's code: 01467363

Reviewer's country: Slovenia

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-10-05

Date reviewed: 2018-10-09

Review time: 4 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: accurately reflects the topic and contents of the study. **Key words:** 5, appropriate. **Abstract:** is appropriate, not structured, 126 words. **Introduction:** short, informative, 126 words. **Structure of the manuscript:** the article is divided into



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

meaningful chapters according to the content, a total of 6111 words. Highlighted and described are: - indications; - contraindications; - equipment for the EST procedure; - techniques of the procedure - standard, precut, transpancreatic, needle knife papillotomy/fistulotomy; - alternatives to EST; - procedure in altered/difficult anatomy; - complications - bleeding/incidence/severity/risk factors/prevention; - perforation - incidence/severity/diagnosis/management; - pancreatitis - risk factors/prevention/management; - cholangitis - risk factors/prevention/management; - long term complications of EST. The discussion is relevant, presented are the data of studies concerning this problem in the international literature, from the year of first application of this procedure (1974 in Japan and Germany). Authors pointed out some survey data from different endoscopic centers and countries. The recommendations of various professional associations are also presented. References: 74, relevant, influential magazines from the field of endoscopy, from 1974 (Kawai et al. Gastrointest Endosc, Classen et al. Dtsch Med Wochenschr) to 2017 (Ikarashi et al. J Gastroenterol, Navaneethan U et al. Endosc Int 2017); The article presents a contemporary overview of this topic, I suggest acceptance of the paper.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Duplicate publication

Plagiarism

No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 42476

Title: BILIARY ENDOSCOPIC SPHINCTEROTOMY: TECHNIQUES AND COMPLICATIONS

Reviewer's code: 02510721

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-10-05

Date reviewed: 2018-10-10

Review time: 5 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To Authors The manuscript shows a correct and updated review and develops completely the argument of the therapeutic role of biliary endoscopic sphincterotomy. I have only a suggestion: can be useful to add a conclusion with a syntetic summary of



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

indications, contraindications and complications of biliary EST.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 42476

Title: BILIARY ENDOSCOPIC SPHINCTEROTOMY: TECHNIQUES AND COMPLICATIONS

Reviewer's code: 03529793

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-09-28

Date reviewed: 2018-10-11

Review time: 13 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I'm glad to recommend your paper for publication. You extensively discuss a topic which is of interest for endoscopists and for gastroenterologists, offering a bird-eye revision of the matter



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No