

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15625

Title: Diagnosis and Surgical Treatment of Esophageal Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors

Reviewer's code: 02953751

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-12-01 10:21

Date reviewed: 2014-12-22 15:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Authors have to follow "the guide for authors", and recompose this manuscript, the abstract section is missed. 2. Please proofread the contexts, too many grammar errors. 3. Please give some illustrations CT scanning, esophagoscopy or EUS on each before/after, otherwise no evidence to support the mean blood loss or long-term follow up. 4. Please remove " Surgery, Diagnosis, CD117" from keyword.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15625

Title: Diagnosis and Surgical Treatment of Esophageal Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors

Reviewer's code: 02913957

Reviewer's country: Iran

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-12-01 10:21

Date reviewed: 2014-12-01 16:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

this survey was done as a good work ,but sample size is low and only descriptive study .I think this article can be accepted as letter to editor or case series. Is there any relation ship between this esophageal GISTs and barret esophagus in 5 patient? pleas added a picture of pathology or endoscopic finding of only one of patient.