



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5978

**Title:** Helicobacter pylori: future perspectives in therapy reflecting three decades of experience

**Reviewer code:** 00058352

**Science editor:** Cui, Xue-Mei

**Date sent for review:** 2013-09-30 15:38

**Date reviewed:** 2013-10-24 06:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Correction: First line established and novel treatment options ...Unfortunately recent meta-analysis...



# Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5978

**Title:** Helicobacter pylori: future perspectives in therapy reflecting three decades of experience

**Reviewer code:** 01804177

**Science editor:** Cui, Xue-Mei

**Date sent for review:** 2013-09-30 15:38

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-08 19:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript the AA reported the more recent information about the treatments of the H. pylori infection over three decades of experiences with perspective future evaluations. The study results well written by a complete and recent literature. Therefore the search can be accepted with minor criticisms or changes. 1) The AA invoke “high complexity of the sequential scheme”. This point was frequently reported and never objectively demonstrated (by using questionnaire, by prescriptive time evaluation etc). Therefore this aspect must be underlined. 2) Regarding the concomitant therapies it is relevant report the highly variable efficacy and the lacking of a standardised treatment duration (Gisbert JP and Calvet X. 2012). Unsatisfactory results are recently reported also when this scheme is adopted for two weeks (Lim JH, 2013; Greenberg ER 2011; Toros AB, 2011). 3) The “hybrid scheme” results a surprisingly approach. In fact these treatment was proposed by the same AA which retained unnecessary and of higher complexity the “sequential” drug administration in the sequential regimen and which proposed “concomitant therapy” as “more easy treatment”. In the hybrid regimen the “unnecessary” sequential approach is resumed with a least comparable complexity than classic sequential scheme and with higher side effect rates because of concomitant treatment in second phase. These practice considerations would be discussed.



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5978

**Title:** Helicobacter pylori: future perspectives in therapy reflecting three decades of experience

**Reviewer code:** 00058362

**Science editor:** Cui, Xue-Mei

**Date sent for review:** 2013-09-30 15:38

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-11 11:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] No records	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well written manuscript on an interesting and current topic. There are only some minor changes, which I have made on the manuscript.