

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Ms:** 1901

**Title:** Unusual histopathological findings in appendectomy specimens from patients with suspected acute appendicitis

**Reviewer code:** 02446400

**Science editor:** x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

**Date sent for review:** 2013-01-13 17:23

**Date reviewed:** 2013-02-23 21:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

It could be interesting to find some specific symptoms or clinical signs suggesting or suspecting for such unusual findings. For example the presence or not of fever, signs of inflammation, pain etc.. But I realize that such data are very difficult to restore in a retrospective study.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Ms:** 1901

**Title:** Unusual histopathological findings in appendectomy specimens from patients with suspected acute appendicitis

**Reviewer code:** 00742509

**Science editor:** x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

**Date sent for review:** 2013-01-13 17:23

**Date reviewed:** 2013-03-01 12:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Authors assessed the incidence and implications of unusual histopathological findings detected in appendectomy specimens from patients who received surgery to address an initial diagnosis of acute appendicitis, and concluded that even when the macroscopic appearance of appendectomy specimens is normal, histopathological assessment will allow early diagnosis of many unusual diseases. There may be some value to the results reported in this manuscript, but this paper does not seem to contain significant new findings and the topic is likely out of the scope of this Journal. Their conclusion limit enthusiasm of the reviewer.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Ms:** 1901

**Title:** Unusual histopathological findings in appendectomy specimens from patients with suspected acute appendicitis

**Reviewer code:** 00556405

**Science editor:** x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

**Date sent for review:** 2013-01-13 17:23

**Date reviewed:** 2013-03-06 10:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This is a quite well-done manuscript of appropriate interest and with images of reasonable quality. The only modification that would significantly improve the presentation is that the figures should be grouped as a single figure of 10 panels. This would improve the flow of the discussion as well as conserve size of the manuscript.