

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4287

**Title:** MR evaluations of biliary malignancy and condition at high-risk for biliary malignancy: current status

**Reviewer code:** 00160065

**Science editor:** Song, Xiu-Xia

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-25 12:03

**Date reviewed:** 2013-06-25 19:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good review for the diagnosis of cholangiocarcinoma and gallbladder carcinoma by MRI. The author should give more information about the successful or unsuccessful of MRI in diagnosis of malignancy VS benign, the specificity, sensitivity, pitfall of MRI in biliary malignancy diagnosis, etc. There are some typing error in: Page 2 Line 1 biliary to biliary Line 2 are seem to seem Page 4 Line 9 cholangiopancreatography to cholangiopancreatography Line 11 gadolinium to gadolinium Page 8 Line 19 extrahepatic to extrahepatic Line 27 hepatocellular to hepatocellular Line 30 mass-forming to mass-forming and cholangiocarcinoma to cholangiocarcinoma Page 9 Line 27 cholangiocarcinomas to cholangiocarcinomas Line 32 cholangiocarcinoma to cholangiocarcinoma Page 10 Line 16 10mm to 10 mm Line 17 infiltrative to infiltrative

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4287

**Title:** MR evaluations of biliary malignancy and condition at high-risk for biliary malignancy: current status

**Reviewer code:** 00068510

**Science editor:** Song, Xiu-Xia

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-25 12:03

**Date reviewed:** 2013-07-12 00:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reviewed and compared the usage of imaging in diagnosis and evaluation of patients with risk factors affecting bile duct cancer. The review article covered the recent development of MR imaging contributing to the diagnosis of the bile duct cancer and the patients with risk. It is better if the author can have a table conclude the advantage of MR in diagnosis of each clinical indication. Minor comment: There are several typing errors throughout the manuscript.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4287

**Title:** MR evaluations of biliary malignancy and condition at high-risk for biliary malignancy: current status

**Reviewer code:** 00068209

**Science editor:** Song, Xiu-Xia

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-25 12:03

**Date reviewed:** 2013-07-14 19:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[ Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[ Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E (Poor)		[ ] No records	[ Y] Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comment on the manuscript 4287 by Sugita, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is non-invasive and one of the useful imaging modalities for biliary tree diseases. The authors reviewed its availability, especially focusing on biliary malignancy. However, recent studies showed the priority of computed tomography with sagittal and coronal imaging in determination of cancer progression and therefore, the authors should refer to diagnostic ability of other modalities. There are several questions and suggestions for corrections: 1) Differentiation diagnosis should be discussed in each disease. 2) Key findings of MRI in each disease should be summarized in tables, which would make it easier to understand the MRI utility for readers. 3) Comparison with other imaging modalities should be described. 4) 'MR imaging' and 'MRI' should be integrated. 5) There are several misspellings and grammar error.