



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26856

Title: Outcomes of liver transplantation in patients with hepatorenal syndrome

Reviewer's code: 00504119

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-28 17:36

Date reviewed: 2016-04-28 21:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review shows the poor results in the end-stage liver disease when associated with end-stage renal disease such as HRS, the review is actual and satisfactory



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26856

Title: Outcomes of liver transplantation in patients with hepatorenal syndrome

Reviewer's code: 03262854

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-28 17:36

Date reviewed: 2016-05-22 22:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have read the current manuscript with great interest. The manuscript is well written and supplies actual and satisfactory information about HRS in patients waiting for transplantation. The language of manuscript is simple and clear. However, I want to mention a few things that I thought would be useful to be corrected: 1. Some abbreviations are not stated in clear in the case of first use. For example RRT, ESRD etc. 2. In the sentence on page 5 "In our cirrhotic population, MDRD-6 is used more widely used when compared to C-G given inclusion of albumin and urea." the word "used" is doubled. 3. The sentence on page 7 "Interestingly, two prospective studies assessing AKI criteria in patients with cirrhosis and found that AKI with serum Cr values..." should be controlled again. I think the word "and" not necessary. 4. In the sentence on page 15 "An unintentional byproduct of SLKT..." the words "by" and "product" should be written separately. 5. The references of the sentence on page 17 "...Liver After Kidney Transplantation (LAKT)(88), (89)." should written according to the guideline for authors.