



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

---

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Ms:** 3056

**Title:** Isolated right posterior bile duct injury following cholecystectomy: report of two cases

**Reviewer code:** 00054174

**Science editor:** j.l.wang@wjgnet.com

**Date sent for review:** 2013-04-06 05:44

**Date reviewed:** 2013-04-19 09:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

### COMMENTS

#### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

The manuscript by Wojcicki et al reported that two cases of right posterior bile duct injury following cholecystectomy. The authors emphasized the preventive measures to be applied at surgery and discussed the diagnostic and therapeutic options. In general the manuscript is well written and the discussion is reasonable. It should be accepted.



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

---

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Ms:** 3056

**Title:** Isolated right posterior bile duct injury following cholecystectomy: report of two cases

**Reviewer code:** 00071690

**Science editor:** j.l.wang@wjgnet.com

**Date sent for review:** 2013-04-06 05:44

**Date reviewed:** 2013-04-23 05:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

### COMMENTS

#### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Dear colleagues, Your manuscript entitled "Isolated Right Posterior Bile Duct Injury Following Cholecystectomy: Report of Two Cases" which you submitted to World Journal of Gastroenterology, has been reviewed. It should be accepted; but I think the points I have mentioned below should be considered: 1-Please correct your order of name list and number of institutes 2-There are a few typos in the article and references that should be corrected 3-Although you suggest to perform PTK in cases with injured duct when it could not be identified, you have not stated why you did not use preoperative PTK in your cases. I think this could have been explained in the discussion section. 4-Well-being of your second cases' could have been stated with biochemical and radiological features in the follow-up period.