

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 87147

Title: Expression Profiles and Clinical Implications of FOXM1, COX-2, and GRP78 in

Breast Invasive Ductal Carcinoma

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06143308

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-21 08:21

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-30 09:57

Review time: 9 Days and 1 Hour

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair</li> <li>[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation</li> </ul>



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study aimed to analyze the expression profiles of FOXM1, COX-2, and GRP78 in BIDC and investigate their clinical implications in the disease. The study is well designed and the manuscript is well written. Minor comments: 1. The manuscript requires a language editing. Some minor language polishing should be revised. 2. A short background should be added in the abstract. 3. Images should be improved. High resolution ratio images should be provided.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 87147

Title: Expression Profiles and Clinical Implications of FOXM1, COX-2, and GRP78 in

Breast Invasive Ductal Carcinoma

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06143329

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MA

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-18 09:50

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-30 10:13

Review time: 12 Days

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ <mark>Y</mark> ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent       [Y] Grade B: Good       [] Grade C: Fair         [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
-	



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous       [] Onymous         Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes       [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read this manuscript with interest. The authors found that FOXM1, COX-2, and GRP78 exhibit abnormally high expression in BIDC, promoting malignant tumor development and closely correlating with prognosis. The findings are discussed well, and the manuscript is well written. I recommend to accept this manuscript after a minor edting.