

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 23338

**Title:** Near-infrared fluorescence sentinel lymph node detection in gastric cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 00503464

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-11-11 19:01

**Date reviewed:** 2015-11-12 08:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comment to authors, In this manuscript, the author investigated the usefulness of the indocyanine green adsorbed to nano colloid in gastric cancer patients. The manuscript has a potential. My only concern is the title. Title should include "a pilot study".

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 23338

**Title:** Near-infrared fluorescence sentinel lymph node detection in gastric cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 01191922

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-11-11 19:01

**Date reviewed:** 2015-11-24 16:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study investigating the feasibility and accuracy of NIR fluorescence imaging using ICG:Nanocoll for sentinel lymph node detection in gastric cancer. This manuscript is well written. Comments are listed as follows: 1. In Section of Abstract, the full name for SLN (appeared for first time) should be provided. 2. In Page 8 Line 5, "1.6ml ICG:Nanocoll containing 0.05mg ICG...". Are the authors sure the dose for ICG is correct? Why is not 5mg? 3. The authors used the lymph node stations defined by the Japanese Research Society for the Study of Gastric Cancer. In table 2, could they provide station number for St. lig. HD and pancreatic border? It is also suggested that "St." be put into the bar "Location of detected SLNs".