

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30539

Title: Correlation of lumbar lateral recess stenosis in magnetic resonance imaging and clinical symptoms

Reviewer's code: 02577402

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-10-14 14:35

Date reviewed: 2016-10-17 21:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors studied the correlation of lumbar recess stenosis in MRI with clinical symptoms. Some problems existed. 1. The language needs to be improved because of some grammar mistakes and misuse of punctuation. 2. Abbreviations: When first using an abbreviation, you should give the full phrase. For example, lateral recess stenosis (LRS). Later, you can always use the abbreviation LRS to indicate the original phrase without mentioning the full phrase. However, the authors did not abide by this rule all the time. Some abbreviations were used without mentioning the complete phrases like MR, MRI, CT, LSS (in abstract), LR, EMG, etc. The authors used "Oswestry-Disability Index (ODI)" in the "materials and methods" part, and then, they used the phrase of he phrase of "Oswestry-Disability Index " again and again without mentioning the abbreviation of ODI. However, in the RESULTS, they used ODI all the time. This is not good. If one phrase was used only once in the text, there is no need to give the abbreviation, and you can use the full phrase. Please check the whole article and correct similar problems. Moreover, the use of too many abbreviations would make it difficult for the readers to understand the article. Please try to use as fewer



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

abbreviations as possible. 3. Conclusion in the text: The conclusion is too long. Please use just one or two sentences to summarize what is directly relevant to your findings. 4. Figures: The authors said that there were two figures, however, I could only find one figure. Please check.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30539

Title: Correlation of lumbar lateral recess stenosis in magnetic resonance imaging and clinical symptoms

Reviewer's code: 00058381

Reviewer's country: Austria

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-10-14 14:35

Date reviewed: 2016-10-19 19:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major Comments: Text: For those who are not familiar with it, the Oswestry-Disability Index should be described in more detail, commenting also on its strengths/weaknesses. Figures: One of the two figures is missing. Minor Comment: A list of abbreviations would be helpful.