

Dear Editors and Reviewers,

Thank you very much for having our manuscript entitled “I Reversal of Complete Atrioventricular Block in Dialysis Patients Following Parathyroidectomy” reviewed in a timely and professional manner and for giving us an opportunity to revise it. We thank the editors’ warm work earnestly and deeply appreciate the reviewers for their critical review of the manuscript with thoughtful and constructive comments. Accordingly, we have revised our manuscript which marked the changes in the revised revision. Please find the revised version and point-by-point responses to reviewers’ comments are listed below.

Editorial office’s specific comments

(1) Please provide the Figures cited in the original manuscript in the form of PPT. All text can be edited, including A,B, arrows, etc. With respect to the reference to the Figure, please verify if it is an original image created for the manuscript, if not, please provide the source of the picture and the proof that the Figure has been authorized by the previous publisher or copyright owner to allow it to be redistributed. All legends require a general title and explanation for each figure. Such as A: ; B: ; C: .

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have provided the PPT including the figures cited in the original manuscript. The PPT named 89057-Figures.pptx.

(2) Please add the Core tip section. The number of words should be controlled between 50-100 words.

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have added the core tip section.

(3) The last keyword should be “case report”.

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have added the last keyword.

(4) (4) Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. If there is no PMID or DOI, please provide the website address.

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have added the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers.

(5) Please provide all fund documents.

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have provided all fund documents.

(6) The main text of case report contains Introduction, Case presentation [(1) Chief complaints; (2) History of present illness; (3) History of past illness; (4) Personal and family history; (5) Physical examination upon admission; (6) Laboratory examinations; and (7) Imaging examinations], Final diagnosis, Treatment, Outcome and follow up, Discussion and Conclusion.

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have revised the main text of our case report following the requirements.

(7) The structure of Abstract does not meet the requirements. The abstract includes five parts: "BACKGROUND", "CASE SUMMARY", and "CONCLUSION".

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have revised the Abstract following the requirements.

(8) Please provide the primary version (PDF) of the Consent for Treatment that has been signed by the patient(s) in the study/ the first page of the patient(s)' medical record, prepared in the official language of the authors' country to the system.

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have provided the primary version of the Consent for Treatment that has been signed by the patient in the study.

(9) Please provide the CARE Checklist (2016).

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have provided the CARE checklist(2016).

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors:

I read with great interest the case report entitled “Reversal of Complete Atrioventricular Block in Dialysis Patients Following Parathyroidectomy”. The manuscript is well written and just requires minor corrections in grammar and tense.

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have performed further language polishing to correct some errors in grammar and format. And the revised manuscript has met the publication requirement (Grade A). The following is a new language certificate.



Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

When revising the manuscript, it is recommended that the author supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply PubMed, or a new tool, the Reference Citation Analysis (RCA), of which data source is PubMed. RCA is a unique artificial intelligence system for citation index evaluation of medical science and life science literature. In it,

upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>, or visit PubMed at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>.

Response: Thanks for your comments. We have used RCA system to search some latest highlight articles, but there was rare. This case report was rare, so the references were not new. On the other hand, it highlighted the rarity of our case report.