

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26253

Title: Geographic integration of hepatitis C virus: A global threat

Reviewer's code: 03262371

Reviewer's country: Iran

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-01 13:36

Date reviewed: 2016-04-07 03:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear editor I am really thankful for your nice invitation regarding peer review of manuscript entitled "Geographic Integration of Hepatitis C Virus; A global threat" which is about highlighting some points for geographic integration of HCV worldwide and over time and to determine the vectors involved in such process. There are some considerable points regarding this manuscript which should be taken into account before its acceptance as follow: 1- The manuscript needs to be revised regarding grammatical and spelling issues. 2- Generally I recommend that authors summarize the paper to be like a mini review. In this way paper could be very informative and more understandable. 3- If the review is based on Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement; It needs related reference and also Prisma algorithm. 4- "A critical data assessment and analysis regarding the epidemiological integration of HCV were carried out using the meta-analysis method" authors used meta-analysis method for data assessment? Which analysis has been done based on the meta-analysis method? They should more explain about this issue. 5- When PubMed is searched, you are searching MEDLINE. So searching PubMed and MEDLINE can be meaningless. Have authors evaluated other databases which can search MEDLINE like Scopus and



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

OVID I think the methods part of this review suffers from major errors and authors should provide more clarification regarding these issues if they want to publish their paper. Regards

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26253

Title: Geographic integration of hepatitis C virus: A global threat

Reviewer's code: 00506481

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-01 13:36

Date reviewed: 2016-04-04 20:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A very well conceived, lucid, informative minireview that is to be shared with the scientific community. The readers will gain valuable insight into the evolution of the Hepatitic C Virus at the global scale. The term 'inter continental' should be changed as 'intra continental' in Figure 2. Transcontinental is OK.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26253

Title: Geographic integration of hepatitis C virus: A global threat

Reviewer's code: 00503977

Reviewer's country: Argentina

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-01 13:36

Date reviewed: 2016-04-12 19:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

An informative and useful minireview. In Fig. 2 and text the term “intercontinental” should be changed as “intracontinental”. The manuscript needs to be revised by an English native people or an language expert, specially on grammatical and spelling issues.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26253

Title: Geographic integration of hepatitis C virus: A global threat

Reviewer's code: 00504881

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-01 13:36

Date reviewed: 2016-04-15 04:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article "Geographic integration of Hepatitis C Virus; a global threat" by Daw et al., is an interesting compilation of information from several research articles and review in HCV epidemiology. Unfortunately, the language needs a great deal of editing to make a smooth reading. Authors provides the fact from the articles and list them in the review but do not provide sufficient explanations about those facts; e.g. why the HCV rate is so high in Egypt, authors fail to mention the mass-medical treatment organized by Govt might have contributed to high rate of HCV in that country. Explanations of some of the acronyms were missing e.g. CAR (page 7)