



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15343

**Title:** Biomarkers in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease-The King is Naked?

**Reviewer's code:** 00068156

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-11-23 16:30

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-09 17:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review, the author provide an overview of the current evidence-based information on biomarkers in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. This review is described in detail, which, as a valuable information, could help the readers that have better understand the first-hand knowledge of this topic to start novel studies.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15343

**Title:** Biomarkers in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease-The King is Naked?

**Reviewer's code:** 00068215

**Reviewer's country:** Romania

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-11-23 16:30

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-30 03:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I consider that the title is not adequate for the WJG readers. I would suggest that the authors should check the chapter - review of Biomarkers/ Panels in NAFLD, especially for the multicenter study that evaluated several panels in patients with CLD. The last paragraph is not clearly written. The authors should underline in conclusion that the biomarkers should contribute to optimisation the selection of patients with NAFLD for liver biopsy.



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15343

**Title:** Biomarkers in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease-The King is Naked?

**Reviewer’s code:** 00562236

**Reviewer’s country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-11-23 16:30

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-03 23:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The paper by Sanal MG is a comprehensive review of the different nonalcoholic liver disease (NAFLD) scoring systems for predicting the nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) evolution of NAFLD. The manuscript supports the view that the commercially available tests are not superior than simpler tests and clinical evaluations in predicting HAFLD evolution, and it questions the usefulness of complicated tests in staging NAFLD for the clinical management of patients at risk of NASH and cirrhosis. The manuscript is interesting, but it needs extensive review for syntax, typing and punctuation errors. For example: 1. abstract: the meaning of the last sentence is obscure. 2. pg 5, line 17: delete “are” after “useful” 3. pg 7, A Brief Review on the Biomarkers/Panels in NAFLD, paragraph 2, line 2: the units of measure of BMI are incorrect. 4. pg 10, the first sentence must be turned around And so on. Other sentences along the manuscript need to be simplified in order to clarify the meaning and to render the paper more fluent. Use the same typing character (Times New Roman) across the manuscript. Some acronyms must be explained.