

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 31403

**Title:** Adverse Effects of Oral Antiviral Therapy in Chronic Hepatitis B

**Reviewer's code:** 02527808

**Reviewer's country:** Egypt

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-05-02 12:03

**Date reviewed:** 2016-05-11 09:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[ Y ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[ Y ] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is interesting but the manuscript is not well written, there is loss of coherence, in the same time there are many repetitions. The studies supporting the data mentioned not well presented, there must be mentioned in details, there are no tables or figures to show these studies. The abstract is very long not well formulated.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 31403

**Title:** Adverse Effects of Oral Antiviral Therapy in Chronic Hepatitis B

**Reviewer's code:** 03538299

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-05-02 12:03

**Date reviewed:** 2016-05-11 13:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript focuses on the adverse effects of oral antiviral therapy in chronic hepatitis B mainly including the two groups of nucleoside analogues and nucleotide analogues. The content is sufficient and well organized. This is a meaningful study and the findings are of considerable interest. However, a few questions are listed below. 1. There is lack of particular mechanism to illustrate the adverse effects of oral antiviral therapy, please supplement it as much as possible. 2. There are some mistakes in reference format, such as ref 80 and 90. 3. The conclusion is not complete, please focus on the basis of adverse effects and the significance compared other similar manuscript.