

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4145

**Title:** Hybrid Natural Orifice Transluminal Endoscopic Surgery (NOTES) in gastric subepithelial tumors (SETs).

**Reviewer code:** 00071707

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-18 09:33

**Date reviewed:** 2013-07-03 13:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Language Needs some minor correction well documented..

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4145

**Title:** Hybrid Natural Orifice Transluminal Endoscopic Surgery (NOTES) in gastric subepithelial tumors (SETs).

**Reviewer code:** 00182188

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-18 09:33

**Date reviewed:** 2013-07-11 18:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is interesting and I recommend some adjustments. What is increasing worldwide, the incidence or the diagnosis of gastric subepithelial tumors? technique and not technique confined and not confined. SMT-What does it mean?-submucosal tumor resection and not resection laparoscopic and not laparoscopic. This procedure limits the amount of deformity and stenosis.- Rewrite the sentence because it is confusing. In Figure 1, the board C is not good. I recommend exclusion "Theoretically, it is possible that a gastric SET such as GIST is accidentally ruptured during resection with peritoneal seeding". This sentence needs to be rewritten, because English is not good. Contraindicated and not contradicted.