

86668_Auto_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 86668

Manuscript Type: CASE REPORT

Treatment of posterior interosseous nerve entrapment syndrome with ultrasound-guided hydrodissection: A case report

Lanhui Qin, Wan Cao, Feitong Chen, Qibo Chen, Xixia Liu

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Posterior interosseous nerve (PIN) entrapment syndrome is one of the causes of weakness and pain of the arm muscles, which is prone to missed diagnosis and misdiagnosis in clinic practice. This paper reports a case of PIN entrapment syndrome, with PIN injury indicated by electrophysiology. Musculoskeletal ultrasound was applied to identify that the entrapment point was located at the inlet of the Frohse arch and the outlet of the supinator muscle. Treatment with ultrasound-guided nerve hydrodissection was performed on the entrapment point, which significantly improved the symptoms. Ultrasound-guided nerve hydrodissection is an effective therapeutic method for PIN entrapment syndrome.

CASE SUMMARY

A male patient, 35 years old, worked as an automobile mechanic. He felt slightly weak extension activity of his right fingers 2 years ago but sought no treatment. Later, the symptoms gradually became aggravated and led to finger drop, particularly severe in the right middle finger, accompanied by supination weakness of the right forearm. Neural electrophysiological examination showed that the patient had partial PIN injury of the right radius. Musculoskeletal ultrasound examination indicated PIN entrapment at the inlet of the Frohse arch and the outlet of the supinator muscle. Therefore, PIN

entrapment syndrome was diagnosed. After treatment with ultrasound-guided nerve hydrodissection around the entrapment point, the dorsiflexion weakness of the right hand was significantly improved compared with before treatment.

CONCLUSION

Ultrasound-guided hydrodissection is efficacious for PIN entrapment syndrome, with high clinical value and great application prospects.

INTRODUCTION

Posterior interosseous nerve (PIN) entrapment syndrome refers to a series of symptoms and signs of movement disorders caused by such factors as traction, friction, inflammation, and mechanical compression of the deep branch of the radial nerve on the proximal dorsal side of the forearm [1]. The key to the treatment of this disease is to relieve compression as soon as possible. However, drug therapies have poor effects [2], and the large trauma from surgery may cause secondary injury [3].

Musculoskeletal ultrasound applied to the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral nerves can reveal the cause and secondary changes of nerve entrapment, which is conducive to the diagnosis of PIN entrapment syndrome [4]. Recently, our department conducted ultrasound-guided injection for nerve hydrodissection to treat a case of PIN entrapment, and good efficacy was obtained, providing a new idea for the treatment of the disease.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

A 35-year-old male patient working as an automobile mechanic had a chronic course of disease. He visited the outpatient clinic of our hospital due to dorsiflexion weakness of the right fingers for 2 years and aggravated symptoms for 6 mo.

History of present illness

The patient felt slight weakness of the extension activity of his right fingers 2 years ago but sought no treatment. Later, the symptoms gradually became aggravated and led to fingerdrop, particularly severe in the right middle finger.

History of past illness

The patient denied any history of diabetes mellitus, trauma, drinking, poisoning, *etc.*

2

Personal and family history

The patient denied any family history of similar diseases or the past use of special drugs.

Physical examination

There was no wound or scar on the right arm, no apparent muscle atrophy of the right forearm, but restricted active dorsiflexion of the right fingers. This was the most obvious for the middle finger, with muscle strength at grade 2. Passive dorsiflexion was possible, with no limitation of bilateral wrist extension (Figure 1A and B), but the strength of the supinator muscle of the right forearm was relatively poor (grade 4). The flexion-extension motion of the elbow and wrist joints was normal, and there were no abnormalities in the sensations of pain or touch in the forearm or hand.

Laboratory examinations

The neural electrophysiological examination performed on July 25, 2022, showed that the motor conduction amplitude and velocity of the right radial nerve were low, while the conduction of the right superficial radial nerve had no significant abnormality. Moreover, a small number of spontaneous potentials were detected in some of the right arm muscles. These are the electrophysiological manifestations of partial PIN injury of the right radius (Figure 2A, B, C, D).

Imaging examinations

According to the musculoskeletal ultrasound examination on July 26, 2022, there were no abnormalities in the C5, C6, C7, or C8 nerve root, the brachial plexus nerve, the ulnar nerve, or the median nerve on the right side. No obvious mass was found in the region of the nerve course. The right supinator muscle was thicker than the left one, and tendinous thickening at the inlet of the Frohse arch was observed, where the nerves were compressed, thinned, and partially adhered to surrounding tissues, with unclear boundaries (Figure 3A). The branches of the PIN swelled and thickened after leaving the supinator tunnel (Figure 3C). PIN entrapment was observed on the longitudinal axis, and the nerves distal to the entrapment point showed swelling, thickening, and myelin thickening, with unclear boundaries with surrounding tissues (Figure 3E). Given all the above, entrapment of right PIN and its branches was diagnosed.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

As an automobile mechanic who had frequently repeated right forearm for a long time, the patient had a chronic course of disease, manifested as weakness of right finger extension (which was gradually exacerbated) and weakness of forearm supination. These were indicated by the neural electrophysiological finding that the PIN of the right radius was partially injured. Musculoskeletal ultrasound showed that the PIN was compressed and thinned after passing through the supinator muscle, and it was thickened at the distal and proximal ends of the entrapment. Hence, PIN entrapment syndrome was diagnosed.

TREATMENT

The patient had a definite diagnosis, no obvious contraindications to puncture, and no history of drug allergy. After fully communicating with the patient and obtaining his informed consent, our department performed ultrasound-guided hydrodissection of the entrapped PIN with a 5% glucose injection using a 5 mL syringe and matched no. 7 needle. Specifically, 2-3 mL of 5% glucose was injected at each of the six points around the PIN entrapment points at the inlet of the Frohse arch and the outlet of the supinator

muscle tunnel (Figure 4). Then, dissection of the nerve from the surrounding adhesive tissues could be observed. After injection, the patient received education on rehabilitation, and he was recommended to change the type of work he did to avoid repeated pronation and supination movements of the arm.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

One week after injection, the weakness of right finger extension was improved compared with that before injection (Table 1), the strength of right extensor digitorum was recovered to grade 3, but the strength of the supinator muscle of the right forearm was not significantly ameliorated. After 3 mo, the strength of his right extensor digitorum had recovered to grade 4+, and that of the supinator muscle of his right forearm was at grade 5-. These both allowed fully normal daily life and work activities. As revealed by the neural electrophysiological reexamination on October 28, 2022, the amplitude and velocity of motor conduction of the right radial nerve were basically restored to normal levels, markedly better than the findings of July 25. Moreover, no spontaneous potential was found in the right arm muscles. To sum up, the PIN of the right radius was generally normal (Figure 2, A1/2, B1/2, and C1/2).

DISCUSSION

PIN entrapment syndrome refers to the compression of the deep branch of the radial nerve, usually manifesting as incomplete paralysis of the forearm extensor muscles innervated by the deep branch of radial nerve, including thumb abduction dysfunction, finger extension dysfunction, and forearm pain. The etiology of nontraumatic PIN syndrome is classified as mechanical and nonmechanical. The former is induced by external pressure on the nerve, and the latter is attributed to inherent inflammatory response within the nerve [5]. The former is more common, and long-term mechanical repetition of pronation and supination movements of the forearm and entrapment of the Frohse tendon arch or tensioned supinator muscle are the leading causes of PIN entrapment syndrome [6]. Radial head fracture, dislocation, lipoma in the

supinator tunnel, entrapment by a ganglion cyst, pyogenic arthritis, rheumatoid synovitis, and vasculitis can also result in PIN entrapment [7-9]. In this case, the patient was an automobile mechanic, so we concluded that the long-term, repetitive screwing and rotation movements of the forearm thickened the Frohse arch to cause PIN entrapment.

According to anatomical studies, the PIN presents a fishtail shape after passing through the supinator muscle. In its two relatively constant branches, the ulnar branch innervates the extensor digitorum minimus, extensor digitorum, and extensor carpi ulnaris, and the radial branch innervates the abductor pollicis longus, extensor pollicis brevis, and extensor indicis [10]. In this case, the patient only had weakness of finger extension and supination, with worse symptoms in the middle and ring fingers than the index finger, no disorders of thumb abduction or extension movements, and no pain in the elbow joint or forearm. We concluded that the ulnar branch of the PIN was the main part that was injured, the radial branch having no or mild injury.

The entrapment of peripheral nerves can lead to demyelinating changes in peripheral nerve fibers, and peripheral nerve myelin breakdown, axonal degeneration, and fibrous connective tissue hyperplasia are gradually worsen [3], and the chance of nerve functional recovery decreases with longer entrapment time. Therefore, for similar cases in the clinic, definite diagnosis in the early stage, relief of nerve compression as early as possible, promotion of nerve functional recovery, and prevention of further nerve degeneration are the keys to treatment.

The clinical diagnosis of PIN entrapment syndrome mainly depends on its clinical symptoms. Due to the many similarities in PIN entrapment syndrome with other diseases in terms of etiology, clinical manifestations, and signs, misdiagnosis and missed diagnosis are likely. Various imaging methods for peripheral nerve injury fail to continuously and dynamically display the peripheral nerves, especially the small peripheral nerve branches, making them prone to misdiagnosis and missed diagnosis. Even the gold standard for diagnosing nerve entrapment lesions, electrophysiological diagnosis, cannot accurately locate the nerve compression. In this case, the

electrophysiological examination of the patient suggested PIN injury. Then, through ultrasound examination as well as observation and measurement of PIN morphology^[11], changes of internal echoes and surrounding anatomic structure, it was clarified that the entrapment point was located at the inlet of the Frohse arch and the outlet of the supinator muscle. The patient's symptoms improved after the treatment with ultrasound-guided hydrodissection at the entrapment point. Therefore, ultrasound can serve as an important supplement to neural electrophysiology owing to its advantages of noninvasiveness, simplicity, continuous dynamic scanning, and bilateral comparison. It can provide precise visual guidance for injection therapies and more accurate treatment.

Currently, conventional conservative treatments (oral medication, physical therapy, *etc.*) for PIN entrapment syndrome have poor efficacy. PIN entrapment syndrome is mainly treated through surgery, where the Frohse arch and supinator tunnel are cut open to resect the tendinous part at the initial portion of the extensor carpi radialis brevis tendon and remove the causes of PIN entrapment. However, given the disadvantages of a long open incision and large trauma on the soft tissues around the forearm, this will cause soft tissue adhesion and scarring around the forearm after surgery, which may ultimately lead the PIN entrapment to recur. The nerve hydrodissection technique is a locally invasive treatment in which liquids are injected into the neuroanatomical space to promote perineural stripping and adhesion dissolution, reduce repetitive compression ^[12], promote blood flow, enhance nerve conduction, and achieve physical separation and chemical repair, thereby exerting a therapeutic effect. The nerve hydrodissection technique has good clinical efficacy in treating carpal tunnel syndrome, cubital tunnel syndrome, lateral femoral cutaneous neuropathy, and common peroneal nerve entrapment syndrome ^[13-14]. By using ultrasound, perineural injections have become significantly safer and more effective^[15], but ultrasound-guided nerve hydrodissection for PIN entrapment syndrome has not been reported yet.

The available injections for nerve hydrodissection include normal saline, 5% glucose, corticosteroid, local anesthetics, and platelet-rich plasma. Both 5% glucose and platelet-rich plasma always produce better results than vehicle control or corticosteroid, but the platelet-rich plasma has complex production process and high costs [16]. Therefore, this patient received nerve hydrodissection combined with 5% glucose solution. The efficacy study confirmed that nerve hydrodissection combined with 5% glucose effectively improved this patient's PIN entrapment-induced motor dysfunction. Moreover, no immobilization of the elbow joint or forearm was needed after the injection. The treatment did not affect the daily life of the patient, nor did it cause forearm movement dysfunction due to long-term immobilization of the elbow joint and forearm.

CONCLUSION

Ultrasound-guided injection is an ideal image-guided peripheral nerve interventional therapy, through which the appropriate path for needle insertion can be selected visually, and the nerve imaging and drug injection can be displayed in real time, thus reducing the patient's discomfort. There has been no report of ultrasound-guided nerve hydrodissection for PIN entrapment syndrome in China or elsewhere. This case report details the treatment of a patient by ultrasound-guided nerve hydrodissection in our department, the first report of its kind. A comprehensive evaluation of the efficacy suggests that the treatment is effective for PIN entrapment syndrome, with high clinical value and good application prospects.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

2%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Sevim Erdem. "Focal myopathy mimicking posterior interosseous nerve syndrome", Muscle & Nerve, 07/2001 <small>Crossref</small>	20 words — 1%
2	www.wjgnet.com <small>Internet</small>	14 words — 1%