

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22419

Title: X-linked deafness - a review of clinical and radiological findings and current management strategies

Reviewer's code: 00503686

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-10-02 09:24

Date reviewed: 2015-10-05 23:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have two concerns: 1- the use of many abbreviations without writing the abbreviated words first.
2- I suggest re-writing the manuscript starting with the review , then talking about the three cases and lastly discussing the results.