

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 88475

Title: Extraordinary, prolonged survival with metastatic pancreatic cancer under fruquintinib treatment after failed chemotherapy: Two case reports and a literature review.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03604107 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Albania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-09 16:59

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-14 16:53

Review time: 4 Days and 23 Hours

| Scientific quality | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: |
|----------------------------|---|
| | Good |
| | [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty |



| Creativity or innovation of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |
|--|---|
| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance |
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | []Yes [Y]No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

For a case report it is too long: please shorten unnecessary details and be more systematic. PFS (acronym) right in the abstract ?? Please avoid abbreviations in the abstract. Prolonged life: a clear, wide discussion on the life expectance in these patients must be done. What is prolonged survival, and what is the minimum / maximum years' range of survival ? Under treatment (which treatment) or without treatment ? Any confounding factors: sex differences in the survival etc..... Hypovascular tumor logically, is not a target for anti-angiogenic treatment.... Or vice versa ? Please explain in details your hypothesis There is an unacceptable number of images / figures, most of which are axial CT scans of low resolution. You should absolutely decrease the number of images, and submit only most illustrative: even legends must be more concise and eloquent.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 88475

Title: Extraordinary, prolonged survival with metastatic pancreatic cancer under fruquintinib treatment after failed chemotherapy: Two case reports and a literature review.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03805084

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Lithuania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-26 03:42

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-30 20:23

Review time: 4 Days and 16 Hours

| | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: |
|----------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | Good |
| | [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty |



| Creativity or innovation of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |
|--|---|
| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [Y] Grade D: No scientific significance |
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection |
| Re-review | []Yes [Y]No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present two interesting clinical cases of chemotherapeutic management of patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer, using the fruquintinib experimental regimen. This is surely interesting data, however, this data is more suitable for publication in clinical case journal, since current paper has no systematic comparison of different treatment modalities, patient selection is not described, no experimental and/or observational design, thus the scientific significance of the data is very low.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 88475

Title: Extraordinary, prolonged survival with metastatic pancreatic cancer under fruquintinib treatment after failed chemotherapy: Two case reports and a literature review.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03479389 Position: Associate Editor Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Director, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-08

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-21 05:44

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-21 13:05

Review time: 7 Hours

| Scientific quality | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: |
|----------------------------|--|
| | Good |
| | [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty |



| Creativity or innovation of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |
|--|--|
| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance |
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y] Yes [] No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a report of two cases in which fruquintinib was effective as a third-line treatment for metastatic pancreatic cancer. Did these two patients express VEGF? Please add whether it depends on the expression of VEGF.