

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26178

Title: Split liver transplantation in adults

Reviewer's code: 00054048

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-04 22:00

Date reviewed: 2016-04-15 22:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper seems to be good, well written and could be available for publication, but needs some explanations before editing. There are few points to be better discussed

Donor selection

None of the parameters cited in the paper is clearly supported by scientific evidence; so definite conclusions should not to be drawn and not assumed at level of recommendations.

Graft size

Using pure donor somatic data for evaluation of graft weight in split full right-full left may be a risk for an insufficient graft volume; so GRWD should be underestimate to avoid risks for the recipient

Outcomes and ethical issues

It is not advisable to compare the outcome of split liver transplantation with results of whole liver or living donor liver transplantation, as in the first case one patient is transplanted, while in the other



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

options two patients are treated. The comparison (obviously never performed) could be proposed on the basis of intention to treat taking in consideration the natural history of patient that using only whole liver, would never be transplanted.

Slip full right versus split full left

The authors should cite in text the first report of this surgical procedure published in Lancet 1999

Result of liver transplantation using tri-segmental split

The largest published series (Corno et ; *Transpl Int* *Transpl Int.* 2006 Jun;19(6):492-9) should be cited and discussed with particular regard to results



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26178

Title: Split liver transplantation in adults

Reviewer's code: 03294220

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-04 22:00

Date reviewed: 2016-04-27 14:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article could give some information and new perspective to young liver surgeon.