

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13606

Title: Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis – the clinical challenge of a leaky gut and a cirrhotic liver

Reviewer code: 00071640

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-08-29 08:34

Date reviewed: 2014-11-03 19:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

there are some discrepancies between title of manuscript and text contents. Manuscript writing language is not much informative.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13606

Title: Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis – the clinical challenge of a leaky gut and a cirrhotic liver

Reviewer code: 02861012

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-08-29 08:34

Date reviewed: 2014-10-05 00:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have presented a useful review on the key features of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, its diagnosis, treatment and prophylaxis. Specific comments: 1. It should be mentioned the incidence rate of SBP in patients with liver cirrhosis and the rate of mortality of these patients. 2. Bacteria from other sources are also found in ascites. How much do these bacteria contribute to SBP. 3. Genetic predisposition. Authors alluded that SBP is associated with polymorphisms in NOD2, TLR2 and MCP1. This part should be expanded more; can these polymorphisms be used diagnostically?

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13606

Title: Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis – the clinical challenge of a leaky gut and a cirrhotic liver

Reviewer code: 02447059

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-08-29 08:34

Date reviewed: 2014-10-28 04:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors presented a very important issue. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in patients with liver cirrhosis is a major concern for every hepatologist and internist for its morbidity and even mortality. The review is well written and covers the most important parts of the issue. Nonetheless, some few concerns were raised during revision Major comments 1- The author need to summarize some data in table describing different studies risk factors, type of treatment used, response to that treatment and recurrence rate, so that the reader can compare easily different regimens 2- The authors mentioned an ongoing clinical trial for primary antibiotic prophylaxis with quinolones. If interim results are available, please describe and clinical trial registration number is important to cite Minor comments 1- Abbreviations in page 1, LBP and LPS full name are mismatched 2- Page 2, introduction section, line 8, it is not appropriate to use "our" when citing others work "ref 10" 3- Few mistyped words and missed spaces needs to be revised carefully

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13606

Title: Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis – the clinical challenge of a leaky gut and a cirrhotic liver

Reviewer code: 02462024

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-08-29 08:34

Date reviewed: 2014-10-30 20:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please present different antibiotic treatment and prophylaxis regimens in tabulated form for easier comparison Please elaborate on role of FXR there are some terms/expressions that are a little unusual- please polish