



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9096

Title: Polymorphism of Heat shock protein HSP70-2 and enterocutaneous fistula in Chinese population

Reviewer code: 00035694

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2014-01-21 16:14

Date reviewed: 2014-01-21 21:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper is well-written.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9096

Title: Polymorphism of Heat shock protein HSP70-2 and enterocutaneous fistula in Chinese population

Reviewer code: 02861031

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2014-01-21 16:14

Date reviewed: 2014-03-03 03:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major comments: There are important limitations of the genetic study such as the small sample size, the need of a validation cohort and the lost of statistical significance of PstI polymorphism after the multivariate analysis. Could you please discuss these issues more critically in the discussion section?

Minor comments: There are some aspects that authors should clarify in the manuscript: 1- The putative functional effect of the selected polymorphism, its location (intron-exon) and the consequence in the protein expression or function. Are there data available in previous studies of the authors or other groups? 2- Introduction: second paragraph, authors described that genetic and epigenetic factors influence enterocutaneous fistula development, please provide references. 3- Patients: the authors should give more details about control population recruitment (inclusion/exclusion criteria). How and why they were selected? 4- In the results, second paragraph on page 9, last sentence should be: However, there were no significant differences in fistula location between HSP70-2 genotypes and alleles among these patients. 5- Age was included in the multivariate analysis?