

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 44566

**Title:** Two-stage liver transplant for ruptured hepatic adenoma: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 01588404

**Reviewer's country:** India

**Science editor:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-11-15

**Date reviewed:** 2018-11-17

**Review time:** 1 Day

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case report describing a two stage emergency transplant for ruptured hepatic adenoma. Major points 1.The authors have mentioned an adenoma involving whole liver, right lobe right going into left at different places in the manuscript. Should be changed to describe the segments involved . 2. The authors have not



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://** www.wjgnet.com

mentioned the volume of the un-involved liver and why an resection of the involved part of the liver would not have sufficed (presumably due to low Remnant volume or ischemic injury to the remnant liver due to haemorrhage and hypotension). This should be elaborated more in the discussion. Hepatectomy and Supra-urgent listing may be appropriate in parts of the world with good donation rates and list prioritisation ; in areas with low organ donation rates or lack of supra -urgent allocation the options would be extended resections wherever possible or Living donor transplant. 3. Did the celiac dissection worsen post DSA, leading to occlusion of left artery as well ? and did that contribute to the decision for total hepatectomy? 4. CT image both the initial and post embolisation should be included.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 44566

**Title:** Two-stage liver transplant for ruptured hepatic adenoma: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03475479

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-11-15

**Date reviewed:** 2018-11-17

**Review time:** 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors described a case with rupture of hepatic adenoma successfully treated by liver transplantation. Present case was serious, and the clinical course and the choice of treatment were interesting. It is informative for clinicians. In present case, the control of hemorrhage was difficult, and hepaticectomy was required. Large fractures and necrosis



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://** www.wjgnet.com

were regarded as the cause. The histology of resected liver should be described more detail. The possibility that pre-operative embolization is associated with the changes should be discussed. Repeated embolization might be harmful for such cases. Earlier hepatectomy might be preferred for such cases.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No