



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 59619

Title: Outcomes of curative esophageal cancer surgery in elderly: A meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 03017762

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Netherlands

Manuscript submission date: 2020-09-22

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-10-27 22:59

Reviewer performed review: 2020-11-07 00:39

Review time: 10 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

This study was carried out to evaluate the minimal invasive surgery in elderly esophageal cancer patients compared to younger using metanalysis. This study was very interesting because no randomized trials were included, especially used Newcastle Ottawa quality assessment scale (NOS). But the volume of this manuscript was too large, pleased reduced. In results, please shows in only elderly: Fig2. Changed to 2010~only. Table2-5 might be not necessary. I think that this manuscript might be better by focusing the elderly patients aged 75 years old compared to younger group.