

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10244

Title: Blue rubber bleb nevus syndrome: a case report and literature review

Reviewer code: 02822818

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-03-21 11:06

Date reviewed: 2014-03-26 02:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1.This case report and literature review of BRBNS presents interesting features of this rare syndrome and is worthy of publication for that reason. 2.It needs some rewriting and reformatting before being acceptable ,however: a. Needs considerable grammar corrections for English language--authors are from China. b. Literature review needs some rewriting with better organization of the points c. The figures should be reformatted as follow: Fig 1 a,b,c dermatologic manifestations Fig 2 a,b,c GI tract manifestations Fig 3 a,b,c other manifestations---this figure should also have arrows direct the reader to the pertinent findings as they are less self-evident than the other figures

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10244**Title:** Blue rubber bleb nevus syndrome: a case report and literature review**Reviewer code:** 02441070**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-21 11:06**Date reviewed:** 2014-03-26 14:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This a good paper on a rare syndrome.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10244

Title: Blue rubber bleb nevus syndrome: a case report and literature review

Reviewer code: 02822816

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-03-21 11:06

Date reviewed: 2014-03-31 02:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To the authors, Your manuscript reports a rare case of blue rubber bleb nevus syndrome which may be interested for the readers of the journal. Unfortunately, all sections of the manuscript are rather confused and should be rewritten, mainly because the poor English language. I suggest you should seek a copyediting service provided by professional English language editing company.

ABSTRACT:

- no need for abbreviations (please, delete BRBNS and IDA)
- sentence 5 ("Actually...") has no place in the Abstract (perhaps, in Discussion section)
- grammar errors should be corrected (e.g. anemia instead of animia)

CORE TIP:

- no need of abbreviation
- there are several grammar and spelling errors (e.g. different diagnosis etc) which should be corrected
- last sentence should be rewritten (does early diagnosis improve the outcome?)

INTRODUCTION:

- first sentence: before abbreviation, please write Blue rubber nevus syndrome
- some sentences should be rewritten *e.g., sentence 4: " first Chinese patient who diagnosis.."; sentence 6: "...superior mediastinum" etc)

CASE REPORT:

- this section should be entirely rewritten to make the article more clearly for the readers
- there are too many grammar and spelling errors (e.g., charactered etc.), please, make corrections.
- presentation should be improved (history, family history, physical examination, laboratory findings etc)
- some sentences are confused (e.g. " according to the laboratory tests...severe anemia charactered.."; " because of no dyspnea,... the patient was given iron supplementation and blood transfusions as treatments" !)
- iron deficiency anemia has not been abbreviated (line 6)
- Last sentence should be rewritten, please, specify how long was the patient followed post discharged.

DISCUSSION:

- this section should be entirely rewritten
- there are too many grammar and spelling errors to be listed, and some sentences are confusing (e.g. page 5 , second paragraph fourth sentence:"the site



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

involved ...oesophagus, colon, anus...and gastrointestinal tract"!; page 6, third paragraph, second sentence: "apart from....to be detective of the visceral organs"!); page 7, second paragraph, 5th sentence "sencondly, the GI lesions. But patients were no response to corticosteroids and interferon"!!!!). CONCLUSION: This section is absent.

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10244**Title:** Blue rubber bleb nevus syndrome: a case report and literature review**Reviewer code:** 02547273**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-21 11:06**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-07 02:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Its an interesting review about and excepcional diagnosis. The language has to be reviewed. The discussion could be shorter and explaining the reason to decice an exceptant treatment