

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 38791

**Title:** MR WITH Gd-EOB-DTPA IN ASSESSMENT OF LIVER NODULES IN CIRRHOTIC PATIENTS

**Reviewer's code:** 02447091

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2018-03-27

**Date reviewed:** 2018-03-30

**Review time:** 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Riccard Inchingolo et al. review MR with Gd-EOB-DTPA in assessment of liver nodules in cirrhotic patients and find that this enhancement technique is very useful in differentiating regenerative nodules (RN), low-grade dysplastic nodules (LGDN),



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://** www.wjgnet.com

high-grade dysplastic nodules (HGDN), early HCC and progressed HCC. There is almost no linguistic problem in this manuscript and this work is worth enough for possible publication in WJG after some minor comments listed below are properly responded. Major comments None. Minor comments 1. Page 3, lines 3. HCC should be spelled out at its first presentation in the manuscript. 2. Page 3, lines 6. Accordingly, Hepatocellular Carcinoma should be abbreviated as HCC since it is the third presentation in the manuscript. 3. Page 5, lines 18. ... gadolinium-ethoxybenzyl-dieth-ylenetriamine ... should be ... gadolinium-ethoxybenzyl-diethylenetriamine ... 4. Page 11, lines 10. In DW), is In DWI, . 5. Page 27. Figure legend, lines 5. Paneles d-h is Panels e-h. 6. Page 28. Figure legend of Figure 4, there is no figure legend or explanation concerning panel e.

## INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### *BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 38791

**Title:** MR WITH Gd-EOB-DTPA IN ASSESSMENT OF LIVER NODULES IN CIRRHOTIC PATIENTS

**Reviewer's code:** 02942798

**Reviewer's country:** Slovakia

**Science editor:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2018-03-27

**Date reviewed:** 2018-04-01

**Review time:** 5 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dea sir, thank you to select me for reviewer of the manuscript: Inchingolo R et al. MR WITH Gd-EOB-DTPA IN ASSESSMENT OF LIVER NODULES IN CIRRHOTIC PATIENTS. In this review article authors summarized role of MRI with Gd-EOB-DTPA

in the diagnosis of focal lesions in the cirrhotic liver. Authors evaluated Gd-EOB-DTPA in the diagnostics of small and large regenerative nodules, low-grade dysplastic nodules, high-grade dysplastic nodules, early hepatocellular cancer (HCC), well differentiated HCC and poorly differentiated HCC. Authors also comment International Guideline for HCC surveillance. Paper is well written, but some changes are needed. Major: 1) Please, summarize data from text in 1 or 2 tables 2) Please, add short recommendation for standard clinical practice Minor: 1) Sentence: In fact, in their series hypointense nodules = 15 mm has a higher risk to progress to overt HCC in comparison to hypointense nodules > 15 mm (HR= 3.55; 95%CI 0.79.12.3) is not correct, there is not statistical significance.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 38791

**Title:** MR WITH Gd-EOB-DTPA IN ASSESSMENT OF LIVER NODULES IN CIRRHOTIC PATIENTS

**Reviewer's code:** 00071640

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2018-03-27

**Date reviewed:** 2018-04-05

**Review time:** 8 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Definitive diagnosis of nodules in cirrhotic liver is made by histology. This review is not giving important contribution to the literature.

## INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

### *BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No