

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30618

Title: Value of routine dengue diagnosis in endemic countries

Reviewer's code: 01206087

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-10-11 13:38

Date reviewed: 2016-10-11 14:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article is a comprehensive review of dengue fever. The contents are good enough to be published.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30618

Title: Value of routine dengue diagnosis in endemic countries

Reviewer's code: 00646418

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-10-11 13:38

Date reviewed: 2016-10-27 09:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Some clinical aspects of dengue need to be incorporated.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30618

Title: Value of routine dengue diagnosis in endemic countries

Reviewer's code: 02528523

Reviewer's country: Mexico

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-10-11 13:38

Date reviewed: 2016-10-31 09:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a review of great current relevance. Dengue is a re-emerging disease distributed in many countries and has a high rate of morbidity and mortality. The article has relevant information; although I consider the authors must clarify or add some important things related to the diagnosis. 1. The clinical classification of dengue has been modified by WHO in 2009. It is not the objective of present paper, but it should be mentioned. 2. Please modify the redaction of the first paragraph in pag. 7, about the causal agents and related vectors of dengue and other viruses and malaria. Currently is confusing, it appears that malaria and the other infections are transmitted by the same mosquito. 3. It is mentioned that there is no vaccine but recently a vaccine commercially was authorized, although it may not be accessible to all countries in endemic areas. Only at the end it is mentioned somewhat about of vaccines. 4. Please elaborate on antigenic cross-reactivity against other flaviviruses (for example Zika) and the problems with diagnosis. 5. Where possible, please present data on sensitivity and specificity of different included tests. 6. Although PCR tests or more specifically RT-PCR tests are mentioned, it is necessary to include real-time PCR.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30618

Title: Value of routine dengue diagnosis in endemic countries

Reviewer's code: 00506503

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-10-11 13:38

Date reviewed: 2016-11-03 18:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors wrote a very complete and useful review article on Dengue in endemic countries. I have no specific comments to do, but in my opinion the last sentence should be wrote in a more suggesting way: "Travelers visiting dengue endemic countries should be encouraged to do a dengue test prior to departure to avoid importation of the disease." Because testing all the travelers is desirable but hardly achievable I suggest to change the phrase in this way: " Travelers visiting dengue endemic countries should be fully informed on symptoms of Dengue and strongly urged to do a dengue test prior to departure of immediately after entering in their own country if they suspect to be infected by the virus, to reduce the risk of importation of the disease."