

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 1995

Title: Epithelial Toll-like receptor 9 signaling in colorectal inflammation and cancer: pathogenic role and therapeutic possibilities

Reviewer code: 02445436

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-01-21 11:45

Date reviewed: 2013-02-01 21:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review authored by F?ri and collaborators describe the role of Toll-like Receptor 9 in colorectal inflammation and cancer. The topic is interesting, but the manuscript lacks of novelty and of new visions of the problem. Major concerns ? The role of TLR9 agonist and antagonist does not result clear, especially in the context of IBD. It seems that agonists and antagonists of TLR9 have the same putative positive effects on IBD. A detailed analysis of the contribution of TLR9 polymorphism to different disease is mandatory. ? Conclusions are too short and quite generic. ? The figure is unformatted so it is impossible to understand it. Minor TLR9 KO mice should be discussed. Page 4: Microflora is an obsolete term. Microbiota is much more appropriate. Page 6 (Conclusion) "therapetic" is probably "therapeutic".