

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29977

Title: Top, Down or "Sidish": Intraepithelial Lymphocytes, Scores, Mimickers, and Challenges in Diagnosing Gluten-Sensitive Enteropathy (Celiac Disease)

Reviewer's code: 03474649

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-09-02 20:54

Date reviewed: 2016-09-09 04:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article original and superb. It provides very useful and timely information. Absolutely, it deserves publication without any revision.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29977

Title: Top, Down or "Sidish": Intraepithelial Lymphocytes, Scores, Mimickers, and Challenges in Diagnosing Gluten-Sensitive Enteropathy (Celiac Disease)

Reviewer's code: 00002736

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-09-02 20:54

Date reviewed: 2016-09-12 22:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall this is a good review on celiac disease. The major problem with this manuscript, however, is the very drawn-out format with many unnecessary sentences and duplications. Furthermore, there is no good structure in the manuscript. This makes this otherwise interesting manuscript very boring to read. My advice to the authors is: 1. Make the paper more concise and down to the point. 2. Make subsections (for example in the section on celiac disease, make subsections such as clinical presentation, pathophysiologic mechanisms, endoscopic diagnosis, tissue diagnosis, etc.) Other issues: - Many grammatical errors throughout the manuscript need to be addressed - Please revise the title by deleting the first part "top, down and sidish".