

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 64067

Title: Self-Compassion and Resilience Mediates the Relationship Between Childhood Exposure to Domestic Violence and Posttraumatic Growth/Stress Disorder During COVID-19

Reviewer's code: 02476743

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director, Statistician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-11 06:38

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-12 01:08

Review time: 18 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It's a good study, and researchers have tried to report well, but this effort is significantly handicapped by the discordance among the conceptualization, execution, and analysis of the study reported in this manuscript. The conceptualized focus does not align with the one indicated in the results and discussion sections.

1.Introduction: Well written but too much information. It's better to explain the relationship between the main variables of the study, that is, self-compassion, resilience, domestic violence, posttraumatic growth, and posttraumatic stress disorder

2.Method: First, the study design should be better explained. It is necessary to calculate the appropriate sample based on the standard criteria and the sampling formula. Second, why a purposive sampling? Could you give more information about the targeted population such as gender, age, and rationale for targeting them? Exactly, who are the targeted population? If it is individuals in a university, then how did the authors adjust for the Hawthorne Effect since the participants were persons who made a conscious decision to be in the school. Third, there is no information about the scales' reliability and validity with the original population. In all the scales, the authors have provided the Cronbach's alpha for the study, but this is post-analysis. How were the scales determined to be reliable and valid for the study before the execution of the study?

3.Results The potential confounders were not fully controlled. Measurements of these confounders appear to be very crude. Without proper adjustment for these important confounders, misleading results cannot be ruled out.

4.Discussion: First, the first part of the discussion is appropriate to some extent, but since the study is comparative, it is necessary to interpret the differences between the groups. Second, the Discussion and Conclusion are based on results that do not align with the presented conceptualization of the manuscript. The Discussion is a

summation of a review of literature built around results that are not conceptually supported. Consequently, the conclusion and applicability in China is theoretical and not substantiated from the conceptualization to the execution of the study reported in this manuscript.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 64067

Title: Self-Compassion and Resilience Mediates the Relationship Between Childhood Exposure to Domestic Violence and Posttraumatic Growth/Stress Disorder During COVID-19

Reviewer's code: 04600414

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Research Assistant Professor, Teacher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-11 06:49

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-12 04:22

Review time: 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Authors have done good job regarding evaluation the impact of lockdown in Psychosocial aspects in little family. My comments are: 1. The introduction was too long, it should be focus on what the studied done as the background. 2. In the discussion should be added what was the impact of the study results in the point of view government. What the action should be taken, regarding this issue. 3. The potency of recall bias regarding the data should also be mentioned as the limitation of the study.