

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 86711

Title: Prenatal ultrasound diagnosis of congenital infantile fibrosarcoma and congenital

hemangioma: A case series

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04551037

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-04

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-17 03:51

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-21 12:10

Review time: 4 Days and 8 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The cases are interesting and rere. However the presentation is unfortunately sloopy and unacceptable. The format of the article must be entirely changed. The introduction should contain information about the rarity of the presented pathologies. The cases should be seperately and clearly defined along with relevant subtitles and final diagnosis. The discussion should concentrate on two entities with relkevant subtitles. The figure legends must be rewritten with much more detail. The diagnosis must be given with the subtitles. The mass and the important organs should be marked. The hypointense character of the mass in the figure 1 should be emphasized, the anatomycal posistion of the images must be given. All of the figure legends must be rewritten by an expert in radiology.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 86711

Title: Prenatal ultrasound diagnosis of congenital infantile fibrosarcoma and congenital

hemangioma: A case series

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02535775

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-04

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-19 17:02

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-26 13:16

Review time: 6 Days and 20 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To Authors, This study titled "Prenatal ultrasound of fetal CIF and CH: case series" was reviewed. This study is an interesting study dealing with rare cases. However, these three rare cases have not been discussed sufficiently. The discussion needs to be rewritten in accordance with the rules of literary writing and compared with previous works in a more analytical way, emphasizing the similarities and differences. Therefore, some suggestions need to be made to improve the quality of study; Abbreviations should not be used in the title of the study. Abbreviations should be checked again and added to the manuscript as a section. The whole study should be rewritten by a natural speaker. I understood that this study has been written by two different authors. (Line 122)= "The child was given standard medical treatment". What was the standard medical treatment? The knowledge of detailing therapy should be written in the manuscript. Figures and Figure legends are not understandable. These parts should be rewritten by an expert.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: 86711 Title: Prenatal ultrasound diagnosis of congenital infantile fibrosarcoma and congenital hemangioma: A case series Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 04551037 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, MSc Professional title: Associate Professor Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey Author's Country/Territory: China Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-04

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-09-19 03:57

Reviewer performed review: 2023-09-19 08:28

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous





statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The revised version is acceptable, thank you