



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23931

Title: Elastography for the pancreas: Current status and future perspective

Reviewer's code: 03363372

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-12-29 15:29

Date reviewed: 2016-01-13 00:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a review on elastography of the pancreas. Although the novelty of this article is limited, it is a nice comprehensive work. The text should be reviewed by a native English speaker. Title: ok
 Abstract - The pancreas was a small organ --> the pancreas IS a small organ Introduction - OK, but a little bit too long - You should also refer to other guidelines, not only from the Japanese Society of Medical Ultrasonics - There IS negative correlation between the grade of strain based on a different principle Elastography for the pancreas - There is only one subheading: US-elastography vs. Strain elastography. At least two subheadings should be used, otherwise the only subheading should be removed. Future perspectives - This is more a conclusion but not a real presentation of the future perspectives of elastography. Please put in more information about future trends. References - There are a lot of references, 30-40 should be enough



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23931

Title: Elastography for the pancreas: Current status and future perspective

Reviewer's code: 02548806

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-12-29 15:29

Date reviewed: 2016-01-26 03:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present review about pancreas elastography is excellent discussing the topic in all dimensions with details, and quantitative data of the current literature. Illustrations are nice. What I only suggest from the authors is to add a table summarizing the findings to what should we expect in different pathologies as have been described in the manuscript such as the colour/ heterogeneity... Regards