

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8776**Title:** Treatment of acute periprosthetic infections with retention of the prosthesis, a current concepts review.**Reviewer code:** 02728403**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-06 21:27**Date reviewed:** 2014-03-25 16:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review is systematized about Periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) to suffer from treatment in Orthopedics very well. It is very useful.

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8776**Title:** Treatment of acute periprosthetic infections with retention of the prosthesis, a current concepts review.**Reviewer code:** 00503864**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-06 21:27**Date reviewed:** 2014-03-26 16:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, in my opinion you are in this review presenting a nice overview of this topic. I would like you to add information of leukocyte scintigraphy as a diagnostic tool. In some patients leukocyte scintigraphy is very useful.

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8776**Title:** Treatment of acute periprosthetic infections with retention of the prosthesis, a current concepts review.**Reviewer code:** 01218364**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-06 21:27**Date reviewed:** 2014-03-29 02:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations to the authors. This is a thorough review of the relevant literature and a fair appraisal of the data, although a more optimistic outlook than perhaps warranted. I would point out that there have been recent concerns with supporting data that a failed DAIR may adversely impact the success of a subsequent staged revision, thereby casting a more negative light on DAIR.