

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30220

Title: Is Endoscopic Ultrasound examination necessary in the management of Esophageal Cancer?

Reviewer's code: 01437020

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-09-20 21:04

Date reviewed: 2016-09-25 21:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editors: Please refer to the following comments on the paper entitled "(Invitation ID: 01430821) Is Endoscopic Ultrasound examination necessary in the management of Esophageal Cancer?", which was submitted as a review article. This paper was well structured. However, I would like to recommend "minor revision" to make it more educative in considering its publication in WJG. The followings are the recommendations: 1. The authors can try provide more discussions about the difference of advantages and disadvantages between high frequency miniprobe and traditional radial-array EUS. 2. The authors can try to discuss the roles of PET-CT and EUS-guided FNA in proving malignant lymph nodes. Can PET-CT spare the need of EUS-guided FNA in differentiating the nature of lymph nodes? 3. The authors should provide discussion about the potential roles of elastography and contrast-enhanced EUS in approaching esophageal cancers. 4. The authors should provide the potential contribution of 3-D EUS to the management of esophageal cancers. Many thanks for your invitation to review this paper. Best regards,

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30220

Title: Is Endoscopic Ultrasound examination necessary in the management of Esophageal Cancer?

Reviewer's code: 02468626

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-09-20 21:04

Date reviewed: 2016-10-09 00:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors are to be commended for their thorough literature review and extensive coverage of the topic of the role of EUS in esophageal cancer. 1) Overall, the manuscript is well written. 2) Some figures, although nice, are not necessary for this topic. I would omit figures 1 and 6 3) Table 3 is not necessary as the same concepts are reported elsewhere in the text and figures