



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, United States

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com <http://www.wjgnet.com>

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8139

Title: Beyond the stomach: an updated view of Helicobacter pylori pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment

Reviewer code: 01944014

Science editor: Huan-Huan Zhai

Date sent for review: 2013-12-18 16:08

Date reviewed: 2014-01-03 08:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It was a great pleasure to review your outstanding manuscript.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, United States

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8139

Title: Beyond the stomach: an updated view of Helicobacter pylori pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment

Reviewer code: 02438028

Science editor: Huan-Huan Zhai

Date sent for review: 2013-12-18 16:08

Date reviewed: 2014-01-06 21:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Y] Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

line 81: It should be T-cells not T-calls. Line 179 and 180-181 and 184, the cagA and oipA should start with small letters and italicised. Line 388(OAL) is most often and the a should be deleted. Line 437: should be Idiopathic and not Immune. Line 449: ...appears to (include that). Line 483: unclear, the first set of numbers. Line 516: The sentence is incomplete. Line 527: H. pylori at and not as a disadvantage... Line 584: after correlation should write between. Line 604: should have CSR written in bracket for its subsequent use in the text. Line 655: after the sentence cases where there were no correlation between H. pylori and DM should also be included even if three sentences with references. Line 662: Should be written: Does H. pylori benefit and not beneficial. Line 695: The full meaning of CRP should be written first before abbreviation. Line 697: McDonagh et al does not have a reference number. Line 706: No reference. Line 789: ...mechanisms through which and not with Line 793: The Maastricht Consensus IV (Malfertheiner et al. 2012) should be thoroughly read to improve on this area. Line 816: after culture, Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) should also be included as part of invasive methods. Line 831: In addition to identifying active infection, it can also be used to confirm cure. After Line 875: Brief mention on FISH tech should be done here. Line 894: It should not restricted to US alone, please report briefly on Europe, Asia and Africa. Line 914: Please include a bracket after 95% CI: 2.01-34.58). Line 932: There should be a reference at the end of this statement. Line 967: Simvastatin should move to line 966. Line 999: The statement from but, the fecal antigen is untrue. It is both the fecal antigen and the UBT that are reliable and useful for detecting current infection. The Authors should please check Masstricht Consensus IV (Malfertheiner et al. 2012).