

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 69543

**Title:** Age, alcohol, sex, and metabolic factors as risk factors for colonic diverticulosis

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 00050195

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MA, MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Senior Lecturer

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Israel

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-07-04

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-07-22 06:47

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-07-27 15:37

**Review time:** 5 Days and 8 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ☐ ] Yes [ ☒ ] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is an interesting study. It is well thought out and the statistics are robust. My only real comment is it is unclear why the study subjects, many in their 40s underwent a colonoscopy. screening is not usually performed in this age, This point needs to be clarified both in terms of the reason for the colonoscopy and any possible underlying illnesses and the ethical issue of performing an invasive endoscopic procedure.