

Dear reviewers

Many thanks for your comments. I have tried to include any of your comments to improve the quality of the paper.

Reviewer 1:

1. Hypothesis of infestation: We have added the sentence: Hematogenous route could be another explanation not previously commented.
2. Complication of gallbladder cysts. We have added the sentence In papers, any explanation is included about if jaundice was due to GBHC compressing bile duct or provoked by daughter vesicles passing to bile duct through cystic duct. No reports of rupture of gallbladder due to GBHC has been reported.

Reviewer 2:

1. Search with other words: We have made search with words echinococcosis and hydatidosis and we got less number of papers so we decided that the best search was the first we did.
2. The comment about Yucesoy paper is totally true but if you read title the paper said "secondary gallbladder hydatidosis ...", that is the reason of exclusion we only include primary cases.
3. We have included our inclusion criteria: we have added the sentence: Our inclusion criteria were any published case of primary gallbladder hydatidosis excluding patients with liver hydatidosis that cyst invaded gallbladder.
4. I agree with reviewer that enumeration of diagnostic methods could be not important but in many of the papers no information about the "typical" imaging findings is included. I have included some information in discussion section.
5. There is no available information in published cases about differential

diagnosis between intravesicular or wall cysts.

6. I have included a CT of GBHC from a case operated by me in my previous job. I have permission for publishing CT not for including patient data.

7. The only data about fistula between gallbladder and cyst is that in surgical field they observed the fistula no conclusion could be obtained

8. There was only one death. The column is about morbidity. 2/16 had morbidity

9. Conclusions have been shortened

10. Discussion has been made longer

Reviewer 3:

I do not agree with comments. Most of systematic reviews and meta-analysis have been made by authors that are only interested in topic. I have a previous case several years ago but patient let me publish CT but no clinical data that is the reason of not including a personal case.