

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30332

Title: To screen or not to screen? Celiac antibodies in liver diseases

Reviewer's code: 03646970

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-09-28 14:35

Date reviewed: 2016-10-19 13:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written manuscript addressing the needed variety of disorders. Minor grammar or spelling changes are suggested in the manuscript.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30332

Title: To screen or not to screen? Celiac antibodies in liver diseases

Reviewer's code: 00068093

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-09-28 14:35

Date reviewed: 2016-11-02 00:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

No

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30332

Title: To screen or not to screen? Celiac antibodies in liver diseases

Reviewer's code: 00003692

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-09-28 14:35

Date reviewed: 2016-11-02 00:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very nice review. Dr. Hugh Freeman

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30332

Title: To screen or not to screen? Celiac antibodies in liver diseases

Reviewer's code: 03699905

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-09-28 14:35

Date reviewed: 2016-11-04 20:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulation for the authors for the review. major comments; 1. Page 2, bottom, unexplained/idiopathic transaminasemia is an indication to rule out CD. 2. Page 3, 2nd paragraph; AGA are not autoimmune antibodies. 3. Page 3 middle: Combination test are better than single test for CD diagnosis. Lerner A. Serological Diagnosis of Celiac Disease -Moving Beyond the Tip of the Iceberg. International Journal of Celiac Disease. Editorial. 2014;2:64-66. 4. Page 3 middle:For false+/- IgA tTg please site:A Lerner, P Jeremias, T Matthias. Outside of Normal Limits:False Positive/Negative Anti TG2 Autoantibodies. Internat J Celiac Disease, 2015;3:87-90. 5. Page 3 middle-lower:There are serological kits that combine IgA+IgG anti tTg or neo-epitope tTg :A Lerner, P Jeremias, S Neidh?fer, T Matthias. Antibodies against neo-epitope tTg complexed to gliadin are different and more reliable then anti-tTg for the diagnosis of pediatric celiac disease. J Immunol Methods. 2016;429:15-20. 6. Page 3 bottom:Neo-epitope tTg should be mentioned as serological marker of CD:Lerner A, Neidh?fer S, Matthias T. Serological markers and/or intestinal biopsies in the case-finding of celiac disease. Editorial, Internat. J Celiac dis. 2015;3:53-55. Lerner A. More novel diagnostic antibodies for celiac disease. Expert Rev of Gastroenterol & Hepatol 2016 May 30:1-2.

[Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 27237317. 7. page 5 Material & methods: The reviewers screened the literature of which years, or for how many decades? Is only PubMed was evaluated? Are only English manuscripts were considered? Why "transaminasemia" was not screened? Were abstracts considered? (see ref 40) 8. Page 9 upper part: Will site for false+/- tTg: A Lerner, P Jeremias, T Matthias. Outside of Normal Limits: False Positive/Negative Anti TG2 Autoantibodies. Internat J Celiac Disease, 2015;3:87-90. 9. Page 10 upper part; common antigenic basis. please consider using molecular mimicry 10. Page c10 bottom: Other organs tTg: Lerner A, Neidh?fer S, Matthias T. Transglutaminase 2 and anti transglutaminase 2 autoantibodies in celiac disease and beyond: Part A: TG2 double-edged sword: gut and extraintestinal involvement. Immunome Research, 2015;11:101-105. 11. Page 10, bottom .Please site references of GFD preventing autoimmune diseases. 12. page 17, bottom: "pretreatment normal liver enzyme" not clear enough. 13. Page 18 ,HCV. please mention the strong association between HCV and autoimmune diseases in general 14. Page 21, last paragraph should be In summary, to summarize the main take home messages of the review. Minor remarks 1. English should be improve 2. Abbreviations should be used consistently, where nemtioned (CD, AIH etc).