

February 18, 2022

Dear Editors and Reviewers,

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "**Entire Process of Electrocardiogram Recording of Wellens Syndrome: A Case Report**" (ID: 74678). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as flowing:

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1

1. Response to comment: Further investigations are needed in more cases to support the view.

Response: Thanks for the insightful suggestion. Based on only one case, our previous conclusion is inappropriate. According to your suggestion, we have modified it in the CONCLUSION parts of Abstract and Main Text.

Reviewer #2

1. Response to comment: What is the diameter and length of the implanted stent? This should be written in the text.

Response: Thank you for the advice on focusing on the diameter and length of the implanted stent. According to your suggestion, we have added the diameter and length of the implanted stent in the TREATMENT part of Main Text.

2. Response to comment: Electrocardiographies in Figure 1 are too small. Details are not clearly seen. This should be corrected.

Response: We apologize for the quality of Figures we provided and we have divided them into Figure 1 and Figure 3 so that enlarge the details. The revised figures were shown in the Figure Legends part.

3. Response to comment: As in Wellens syndrome, it is possible to predict exact site of culprit lesion in the clinical setting only by characterizing unique ECG patterns. These unique ECG patterns should be mentioned more in the discussion section.

Response: Thank you for your suggestions and the reports you provided. We have supplemented more information about some unique ECG patterns in the first paragraph of the discussion section and added references according to your suggestions.

4. Response to comment: References should be re-written according to the Journal's style.

Response: We apologize for the wrong written style of references and have re-written the references according to the Journal's style.

Reviewer #3

1. Response to comment: Please consider more medical terminology. there are some tiny grammatical issues that should be corrected.

Response: Thank you for the suggestion and we apologized for the confusing statement of grammatical issues and medical terminology. We have contacted the Professional English Language Editing Company, editage (<https://www.editage.cn/>), to polish the grammar and medical terminology of this case report.

Company editor-in-chief:

1. Response to comment: Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint.

Response: According to your suggestion, we have provided the original figure documents in a PowerPoint format.

We appreciate the valuable suggestions from the editors and reviewers and sincerely hope that the revision in the manuscript and our accompanying responses will be sufficient to make our manuscript suitable for publication in World Journal of Clinical Cases.

Thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Best wishes

Yours sincerely,

Na Tang, Yi-hua Li, Liang Kang, Rong Li, Qing-min Chu

Corresponding to: Qing-min Chu, MD

Department of Cardiovascular Disease

The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine

No.16 Airport Road

Baiyun District

Guangzhou City 510000

Guangdong Province

China

[+0086 13929504676]

[+0086 020-36591357]

E-mail: 13929504676@163.com