

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Experimental Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 29787

Title: Effect of aging on stem cells

Reviewer's code: 02446319

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-08-29 19:33

Date reviewed: 2016-10-08 17:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A well-organized report with respect to the effect of aging on stem cell. If the feed is better utilized to announce the figure it would have been better.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Experimental Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 29787

Title: Effect of aging on stem cells

Reviewer's code: 02446280

Reviewer's country: Russia

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-08-29 19:33

Date reviewed: 2016-10-11 13:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript "The effect of aging on stem cells" focuses on the various aging disease-related stem cell dysfunction and addresses the several potential mechanisms that cause stem cell dysfunction during the aging. Overall manuscript is well written however I would suggest to shorten the reference list citing only important research papers or recent reviews. microRNAs are now to be considered an important players in many natural or pathological processes however most scientists considered them as a epigenetic regulators. Therefore, changes in microRNA expression patter is epigenetic alteration during stem cell aging and perhaps these paragraphs should be combined.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Experimental Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 29787

Title: Effect of aging on stem cells

Reviewer's code: 02446219

Reviewer's country: Iran

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-08-29 19:33

Date reviewed: 2016-10-21 04:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is interesting and well-designed with helpful information.