



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

**Manuscript NO:** 81483

**Title:** The quality of bowel preparation in patients with inflammatory bowel disease undergoing colonoscopy: What factors to consider?

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 06333001

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** China

**Author’s Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-11-14

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-11-15 00:51

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-11-17 00:21

**Review time:** 1 Day and 23 Hours

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Scientific quality</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish            |
| <b>Language quality</b>   | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| <b>Conclusion</b>         | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection             |
| <b>Re-review</b>          | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No   |



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

|                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <b>Peer-reviewer<br/>statements</b> | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |
|                                     | Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  |

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

It is not an interesting manuscript. Authors cannot succeed to present their idea in a clear way adding information to the existing literature. What are the original findings of this manuscript?



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

**Manuscript NO:** 81483

**Title:** The quality of bowel preparation in patients with inflammatory bowel disease undergoing colonoscopy: What factors to consider?

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 00503545

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Director, Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-11-14

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-11-15 02:56

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-11-24 10:45

**Review time:** 9 Days and 7 Hours

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Scientific quality</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish            |
| <b>Language quality</b>   | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| <b>Conclusion</b>         | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection             |
| <b>Re-review</b>          | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No   |



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

|                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <b>Peer-reviewer<br/>statements</b> | Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous |
|                                     | Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No  |

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In this review, the authors provide an overview of the current available evidence on bowel preparation formulations, specifically evaluated in IBD. The theme of the study is interesting and the paper is well written. However, the authors should address the following points. 1. It has been often experienced and a critical issue that the disease recurrence occurs in IBD patients after colonoscopy examination. Thus, I suggest that the authors show the disease recurrence rate in each study in Table 1. 2. It appears that the text is somewhat redundant. I suggest that the authors shorten the text, if possible. 3. I can't find the full spelling of BBPS (p14) in the text.