



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 65666

**Title:** Therapeutic Plasma Exchange for Hyperlipidaemic Pancreatitis: Current Evidence and Unmet Needs

**Reviewer's code:** 05771605

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Saudi Arabia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-03-12

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-03-13 16:32

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-03-14 05:54

**Review time:** 13 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The review manuscript titled 'Therapeutic Plasma Exchange for Hyperlipidaemic Pancreatitis: Current Evidence and Unmet Needs' has been well written and covers the topic comprehensively. The title is reflective of the work and the abstract nicely sums up the content. Considering the non-uniformity of previous data, the review provides much needed clarity on the use of plasmapheresis in HLAP. There are a few minor points which may further improve the manuscript and readability: PE, TPE, Plasmapheresis and Plasma Exchange have been used by the authors on different points within the manuscript which may confuse the lay reader. It is better to adopt uniformity. The manuscript will improve if the authors can add a table to summarize the results from the studies that they have mentioned in the study. Some of the abbreviations have been used without definition at their first use in the text. There are minor linguistic and grammatical errors which should be corrected.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 65666

**Title:** Therapeutic Plasma Exchange for Hyperlipidaemic Pancreatitis: Current Evidence and Unmet Needs

**Reviewer's code:** 03475439

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FRSC, MBBS, MBChB, MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Lecturer, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Ireland

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-03-12

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-03-13 11:27

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-03-30 19:07

**Review time:** 17 Days and 7 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The scientific content of this manuscript is appropriate and comprehensive. The grammar could be improved. It would be useful to have this manuscript corrected by professional English teacher or writer. A conjunctive adverb is uncommon in everyday speech, but has been overemployed in this paper and sometimes incorrectly. Abstract page Further should be furthermore. Till date should be To date etc etc. There is excessive use of Finally, However, Unfortunately, Nevertheless, Hence. It is not always necessary to 'Introduce@ a sentence. There are numerous spelling mistakes and typho's. eg Relationship between Serum TG LELEL should be Level...retrospectively review should be retrospective review. Too manyIn particular, furthermore moreover, therefore, thus, consequently, furthermore, unfortunately