

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 22925

Title: Prevention of stress-related ulcer bleeding at the intensive care unit: Risks and benefits of stress ulcer prophylaxis

Reviewer's code: 00036328

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-10-09 18:19

Date reviewed: 2015-10-16 23:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper titled 'Prevention of stress-related ulcer bleeding at the Intensive Care Unit: risks and benefits of stress ulcer prophylaxis' from Buendgens L. and coworkers is an interesting and updated review in the field. The sentence in the Risk factors for stress ulcer-related bleeding paragraph 'A large, prospective multicenter trial of 2,252 ICU patients was able to identify two main risk factors:' should be modified as follow: 'A large, prospective multicenter trial of 2,252 ICU patients was able to identify at multiple regression analysis two main risk factors:'. Moreover, OR, RR and HR are not followed by 95% Confidence Interval or P when available. For example, in the Risk factors for stress ulcer-related bleeding paragraph: OR 15.6 (P<0.001), OR 4.3 (P<0.001), OR (95% CI) 8.9 (2.7-28.8), OR (95% CI) 7.6 (3.3-17.6), OR (95% CI) 6.9 (2.7-17.5), OR (95% CI) 5.2 (2.3-11.8), OR (95% CI) 4.2 (1.7-10.2), OR (95% CI) 1.4 (1.2-1.5). The same is for Adverse Events paragraph: OR (95% CI) 3.11 (1.11-8.74), HR (95% CI) 2.33 (1.264-4.296).

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 22925

Title: Prevention of stress-related ulcer bleeding at the intensive care unit: Risks and benefits of stress ulcer prophylaxis

Reviewer's code: 00053417

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-10-09 18:19

Date reviewed: 2015-10-11 17:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic is interesting, but there is a reservation, which needs revision: The title does not accurately reflect the major contents of the article. Most contents of the manuscript describe the epidemiology, pathophysiology and strategy in management of stress ulcer bleeding, but not "risks and benefits of stress ulcer prophylaxis" as noted in the title. In addition, the manuscript focuses on acid-suppressive medication, but not all approaches to "stress ulcer prophylaxis".

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 22925

Title: Prevention of stress-related ulcer bleeding at the intensive care unit: Risks and benefits of stress ulcer prophylaxis

Reviewer's code: 00004485

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-10-09 18:19

Date reviewed: 2015-10-13 04:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The reviewer feels that this is an important topic that deserves publication consideration. I have taken steps to improve the grammar throughout the manuscript. Please review this. The manuscript would be substantially improved if the authors had a Table 4 with overall recommendations to include the level of evidence for these recommendations. It might look something like: Prevention of SRMD and Hemorrhage in the ICU Column 1: Underlying Condition: Head trauma Previous GI bleed Sepsis with broad spectrum antibiotic treatment Dialysis Liver/kidney transplant ... Column two: Prevention Recommended (Y/N); or PPI/H2 blocker or sucralfate or tube feeding) Column three: Level of evidence

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 22925

Title: Prevention of stress-related ulcer bleeding at the intensive care unit: Risks and benefits of stress ulcer prophylaxis

Reviewer's code: 00504581

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-10-09 18:19

Date reviewed: 2015-10-18 02:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

With the data managed and discussed by the authors it would have been very appropriate to make a final algorithm summing up the most powerful indications for ulcer prevention at the ICU setting. We missed this final algorithm about the truly indication of stress related ulcer bleeding, and the authors should include it