



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 69649

Title: The prognostic value of the ground glass opacity on computed tomography in pathological stage I pulmonary adenocarcinoma: a meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 04324400

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Attending Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-15 14:31

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-22 19:41

Review time: 7 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations for the innovative work that have been done by the authors. I would like to suggest the following modifications/additions in the paper: 1) Apply Prisma 2020 checklist and their statements in the methodology. 2) Sentence starting on the line 78-79 was not clear to me. I suggest rewrite. 3) Line 99, I presume the word "cursed" was wrong. I correct word would be "curve". 4) Lines 159-160, the authors have included the results from the article of Shigefuku. The meta-analysys must have more than 1 article to analyse an effect size I think this sentence would be better placed in the discussion. The effect size "CSS" studied by the authors has only 1 article. So, I suggest to exclude CSS of the objective of the present study.