



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 35610

**Title:** Staging Chronic Pancreatitis with Exocrine Function Tests: Are we Better?

**Reviewer's code:** 02567564

**Reviewer's country:** India

**Science editor:** Li Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2017-08-07

**Date reviewed:** 2017-08-07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is vastly improved

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 35610

**Title:** Staging Chronic Pancreatitis with Exocrine Function Tests: Are we Better?

**Reviewer's code:** 00070310

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Li Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2017-08-07

**Date reviewed:** 2017-08-08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is an editorial for staging chronic pancreatitis with exocrine function.  
This manuscript is well written and acceptable for publication.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 35610

**Title:** Staging Chronic Pancreatitis with Exocrine Function Tests: Are we Better?

**Reviewer's code:** 03317191

**Reviewer's country:** Brazil

**Science editor:** Li Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2017-08-07

**Date reviewed:** 2017-08-08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The revised manuscript was improved relating to the previous one